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## 1. Introduction:

- Covered in 2008 SNA chapter 15;
- A very useful handbook used in the UK National Accounts and in Europe is the Eurostat Handbook on price and volume measures


## 1. Introduction

- Uses of price and volumes data:

Comparing economy over time

Comparing different economies at the same time

## 1. Introduction

- Determined by a market
- Non-market products?
- Different types of prices:

Basic price
Producer price
Purchaser price
Published price indexes (CPI, PPI, )
2. Prices and Volume

## Quantity

- Value, price and quantity are linked by the fundamental equation:

$$
v=p \cdot q
$$

- This equation is valid only for homogeneous products


## 2. Prices and Volume

## Homogeneous products

- Homogeneous products are products for which it is possible to define units which are all considered equivalent and which can thus be exchanged for the same monetary value
- A homogeneous product consists of units of the same quality


## 2. Prices and Volume

## Volumes

- Seems easy when the product is simple - just a physical quantity (one ton of coal or one cup of coffee....)
- But ‘volume’ also includes quality : more "value for money"
- Volume = quantity * quality


## 2. Prices and Volume

## Decomposing values

- Value = Volume x Price

$$
\text { Volume }=\frac{\text { Value }}{\text { Price }}
$$

- Can also express this as:
- => deflation


## 2. Prices and Volume

## Constant prices

- The notion of volume is introduced to eliminate the effect of price changes on a set of products
- This effect can be offset by calculating what the value of the set of products would have been if there had been no changes in prices


## 3. Developing relevant deflators

## Deflators for market output

- Best method: deflation by appropriate producer price indices:
- basic prices
- adjustments for quality changes
- exact correspondence to products to be deflated
- concepts according to national accounts (or an indicator proven to be equivalent)


## 3. Developing relevant deflators

## Deflators for market output

- Alternative methods: less appropriate PPIs, CPIs, volume indicators
- Unacceptable methods: input methods, secondary indicators, inappropriate price indices
- To be applied to specific products first


## Agriculture

- Usually price*quantity approach so all data are available. Some remaining issues:
Production process spread over more than one accounting period
Seasonal prices
Subsidies


## Manufacturing

- Usually PPIs available
- Unique products
large equipment: ships, planes, special machines
- Computers


## Construction

- Wide range of products new construction improvements
- Unique products -> model prices
- Production process spread over more than one accounting period


## Wholesale and retail trade services

- Trade margins: no direct prices paid for trade services
- Assumption used: volume of output equals volume of sales
- How to measure quality of trade services, eg. supermarket versus shop-on-the corner


## Transport and communication

- Transport: passenger-kilometres and tonnekilometres are acceptable methods
- Take account of subsidies
- "Empty bus" problem
- Communications: differentiate household and business purchases


## Banking

- Two parts: explicit charges and FISIM
- For explicit charges price indices can be developed
- This is not the case for FISIM are the use of volume indicators (deleted stock using general price index) and price that is base year interest rates


## Non-market services

- Examples - health, education, defense, administration
- Difference between "individual" and "collective" services
- Measurement of output in current prices


## Measuring at constant prices?

- Distinguish between:
- Input-based methods - deflating inputs
- Output-based methods - measuring volume of output using indicators


## Education

- One definition "quantity of teaching received by students, adjusted to allow for qualities of the services provided, for each type of education"
- What does this mean?

Quantity of teaching
Adjusted for quality
Type of education

## Health

- One definition "the quantity of health care received by patients, adjusted to allow for qualities of service provided, for each type of health care"
- Looks similar to education
- What is quantity?


## Collective services

- Difficult to define output, but not impossible:

Tax system
Social Security
Public administration?

- Use of activity indicators
- Measuring quality


## 4. Quality change in price indices

- Examples of quality
- physical characteristics
- accompanying services
- location
- timing
- Price discrimination


## 4. Quality change in price indices

- Depends on the type of product, but generally:
- Matched models
- Option pricing
- Overlapping
- Expert judgment
- Hedonics


## 5. Price and Volume indices

## Comparing base and current periods

- The value of a set of products in the current period is:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
v^{1}=\sum_{i} p_{i}^{1} \times q_{i}^{1} \\
\text { Vol }=\sum_{i} p_{i}^{0} \times q_{i}^{1}
\end{array}
$$

- The volume can be defined as:
- The volume index is:

$$
\text { IVol }=\frac{\sum_{i} p_{i}^{0} \times q_{i}^{1}}{\sum_{i} p_{i}^{0} \times q_{i}^{0}}
$$

## 5. Price and Volume indices

Table 1 Car Production

|  | Year 0 |  |  | Year 1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Price <br> (000 \$/un.) | Quantity <br> (No.) | Value (000 \$) | Price <br> (000 \$/un.) | Quantity <br> (No.) | Value (000 \$) | Value (year 0 \$) |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) $=(1)^{*}(2)$ | (4) | (5) | (6)=(4)*(5) | (7)=(1)*(5) |
| Model A | 20 | 15 | 300 | 40 | 24 | 960 | 480 |
| Model B | 10 | 15 | 150 | 20 | 6 | 120 | 60 |
| $\Sigma$ |  | 30 | 450 |  | 30 | 1,080 | 540 |

Values in column (6) are in current prices showing a 140 percent increase over year 0 (index $=1080 / 450=240$ )

Values in column (7) are at constant prices of year 0, they reflect changes in quantity and/or quality.
$>$ Values at constant prices are an aggregated volume measure, expressed in money terms and additive

## 5. Price and Volume indices

- The average of the proportionate changes in the price (or volume) of a specific set of goods and services between two periods of time


## 5. Price and Volume indices

The Laspeyres philosophy

- time periods 0 and t
- quantity (volume) relatives qt/q0
- weights : share in total value of period 0
- Laspeyres volume index
(arithmetic mean of quantity relatives)

$$
L_{q}=\sum \frac{v_{0} q_{t} / q_{0}}{\sum v_{0}}=\frac{\sum p_{0} q_{t}}{\sum p_{0} q_{0}}
$$

## 5. Price and Volume indices

## The Laspeyres philosophy

- time periods 0 and $t$
- price relatives pt/p0
- weights of period 0
- Laspeyres price index

$$
L_{P}=\sum \frac{v_{0} p_{t} / p_{0}}{\sum v_{0}}=\frac{\sum p_{t} q_{0}}{\sum p_{0} q_{0}}
$$

## 5. Price and Volume indices

## Laspeyres Volume Index Formula

- The change from the base year in constant prices or the ratio of the current year volume to the base year volume in table 1 may be expressed in index form as:

$$
L Q_{0 \rightarrow t}=540 \times 100 / 450=120.0
$$

- This is also called Laspeyres (fixed-base) volume index ( $L Q_{0 \rightarrow t}$ ).
- Mathematically:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L Q_{0 \rightarrow t}=Q_{0, t} / Q_{0,0}=Q_{0, t} / V_{0}=\sum_{i} p_{i, 0} \times q_{i, t} / \sum_{i} p_{i, 0} \times q_{i, 0} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Note: the two components of the index are ADDITIVE
* The Laspeyres volume index can also be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L Q_{0 \rightarrow t}=\sum_{i} w_{i, 0} \times q_{i, t} / q_{i, 0} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

s where $w_{i, 0}$ is the base period weight, i.e. the items share in the total value in the base period

## 5. Price and Volume indices

## The Laspeyres philosophy

Table 2

|  | Year 0 |  |  |  | Year 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Price } \\ \text { (000 \$/un.) } \end{gathered}$ | Quantity <br> (No.) | Value <br> (000 \$) | Weight <br> ( $w_{0}$ ) | Quantity (No.) | Quantity relatives (QR) | $\mathrm{w}_{0}{ }^{*} \mathrm{QR}$ |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) $=(1)^{*}(2)$ | (4)=(3)/E(3) | (5) | (6)=(5)/(2) | (7)=(4)*(6)*100 |
| Model A | 20 | 15 | 300 | 66.7\% | 24 | 1.6 | 107 |
| Model B | 10 | 15 | 150 | 33.3\% | 6 | 0.4 | 13 |
| $\Sigma$ |  | 30 | 450 |  | 30 |  | 120 |

## Paasche Price Index Formula

- To factor the change in the value of car production from year 0 to year 1 arising from price changes.
$>$ take the ratio of the value of output in current prices in year 1 to the value of output in year 1 measured in constant prices (prices of year 0) (and multiply it with 100 to convert to an index form):

$$
P P_{0 \rightarrow t}=1080 \times 100 / 540=200.0
$$

Shows 100 percent increase or doubling in prices
The above ratio is also called the Paasche price index $\left(\mathrm{PP}_{0 \rightarrow t}\right)$. Algebraically:

$$
\begin{gather*}
P P_{0 \rightarrow t}=V_{t} / Q_{0, t}=\sum_{i} p_{i, t} \times q_{i, t} / \sum_{i} p_{i, 0} \times q_{i, t}  \tag{1}\\
P P_{0 \rightarrow t}=1 / \sum_{i} w_{i, t} \times p_{i, 0} / p_{i, t} \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $w_{i, t}$ is the current period weight, i.e. the items share in the total value in the current period

## Paasche Price Index Formula

According to the later formulation, $P P_{0 \rightarrow t}$ is derived as follows from the data in table 1:

Table 3

|  | Year 0 <br> Price <br> $(000 \$ /$ un. $)$ | Price <br> $(000 \$ /$ un. $)$ | Value <br> $(000 \$)$ | Year 1 <br> Weight <br> $\left(w_{1}\right)$ | Price <br> relatives (PR) | $\mathbf{w}_{1} *$ PR |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)=(3) / \Sigma(3)$ | $(5)=(1) /(2)$ | $(6)=(4) *(5) * 100$ |
| Model A | 20 | 40 | 960 | $88.9 \%$ | 0.5 | 0.44 |
| Model B | 10 | 20 | 120 | $11.1 \%$ | 0.5 | 0.06 |
| $\Sigma$ |  |  | 1,080 |  |  | 0.50 |
| $P P_{0 \rightarrow t}=1 / \Sigma\left(w_{1} * P R\right)=(1 / 0.5) \times 100$ |  |  | 200.00 |  |  |  |

The ratio of any aggregate in current prices to the aggregate in constant prices yields an implicit Paasche price deflator

Price measures for the main national accounts aggregates are (always) derived implicitly

## 5. Price and Volume indices

## Value, Volume and Price Indexes

The change in the current price value of car production from year 0 to year 1 in our example can be expressed algebraically as:

$$
V_{t} / V_{0}=\sum_{i} p_{i, t} q_{i, t} / \sum_{i} p_{i, 0} q_{i, 0}
$$

Multiplying and dividing by $\Sigma_{i} p_{i, 0} q_{i, t}$ gives:

$$
V_{t} / V_{0}=\left(\sum_{i} p_{i, 0} q_{i, t} / \sum_{i} p_{i, 0} q_{i, 0}\right) *\left(\sum_{i} p_{i, t} q_{i, t} / \sum_{i} p_{i, 0} q_{i, t}\right)
$$

Value index = Laspeyres Volume index * Paasche Price index / 100

$$
\underbrace{V_{t} / V_{0} \times 100}_{240}=\underbrace{L Q_{0 \rightarrow t}}_{120} \times \underbrace{P P_{0 \rightarrow t}}_{200} / 100
$$

> The volume and price effects of value change are multiplicative
$>$ When $V_{t}$ and $V_{0}$ are known and $P P_{0 \rightarrow t}$ is available the Laspeyres volume index can be derived indirectly from above formula - a process called price deflation

## 5. Price and Volume indices

## Value, Volume and Price Indexes

Another set of volume and price indices may be obtained starting from the change in the current price value of car production from year 0 to year 1:

$$
V_{t} / V_{0}=\sum_{i} p_{i, t} q_{i, t} / \sum_{i} p_{i, 0} q_{i, 0}
$$

Multiplying and dividing by $\Sigma_{i} p_{i, t} q_{i, 0}$ gives:

$$
V_{t} / V_{0}=\left(\sum_{i} p_{i, t} q_{i, o} / \sum_{i} p_{i, 0} q_{i, 0}\right) *\left(\sum_{i} p_{i, t} q_{i, t} / \sum_{i} p_{i, t} q_{i, 0}\right)
$$

or Value index = Paasche Volume index * Laspeyres Price index / 100

$$
\underbrace{V_{t} / V_{0} \times 100}_{240}=\underbrace{L P_{0 \rightarrow t}}_{200} \times \underbrace{P Q_{0 \rightarrow t}}_{120} / 100
$$

$>P Q_{0 \rightarrow t}$ can be obtained by inflating the base period values using the often available $L P_{0 \rightarrow t}$ and then dividing the current price value by this amount.

## 5. Price and Volume indices

Laspeyres, Paasche and Fischer

- Laspeyres: weights of period 0
- Paasche: weights of period t
- Fischer: geometric mean of Laspeyres and Paasche


## 5. Price and Volume indices

## Laspeyres, Paasche and Fischer

Value index
$=$
Laspeyres volume index * Paasche price index
=
Paasche volume index * Laspeyres price index
=
Fischer volume index * Fischer price index

## Example

- Value in 2005 :120
- Paasche price index 2004-2005: 125
- Volume 2005 in prices of 2004: 96
- Laspeyres volume index 2004-2005: 120
- Value in 2004: 80
- Value change = 20\% * 25\% = 50\%


## 5. Price and Volume indices

- CPIs, PPIs: all Laspeyres price indices
- Define precise bundle of goods and services \& obtain their value shares in base year (for weighting)
- Observe monthly prices, by going to shops, magazines, internet, etc.
- Calculate index


## 6. Chain-linking and re-referencing

- When a fixed base Laspeyres is used over a long run of periods, the weights become progressively out of date and irrelevant.
- Chain-linking is simply the limiting case in which the weights are updated each period.
- No fixed base year but moving base year: always use weights of previous year to calculate growth rates (Previous Year Prices - PYPs)
- Chain year-on-year growth rates together to obtain "constant price" data
- Non - additivity will occur in "constant price" series


## 6. Chain-linking and re-referencing

## Base and reference period

- Base period
the period that provides the weights for the index
- Reference period
the period for which the index has the value 100


## 6. Chain-linking and re-referencing

## Re-Referencing

Table 6

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Index <br> (reference period 2000=100) | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 |
| Growth rate <br> (percent) |  | 10.0 | 9.1 | 8.3 |
| New Index <br> (reference period 2010=100) <br> Growth rate <br> (percent) | 83.3 | 91.7 | 100 | 108.3 |

$>$ Growth rate remains the same
> Re-referencing shifts focus to new reference year
> Values of the other periods are now compared with the value in this year

## 6.Change of Base Year. Effect on growth rates

Table 7


## 7. Double v. Single deflation/extrapolation

Best method: double deflation/extrapolation
$>$ GVA is derived as output less intermediate consumption at constant prices, both obtained separately

## Alternative method:

## Single extrapolation

$>$ GVA is extrapolated using output data
$>$ GVA is extrapolated using employment data

## Single deflation

$>$ GVA is deflated using output deflator
$>$ GVA is deflated using the wage index
$>$ GVA is deflated using a general price index, e.g. CPI

## 7. Illustration of Double Deflation Method

Double Deflation - Example

|  | 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current prices |  |  | Price indexes |  | Constant (2000) prices |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { GO } \\ (000 \$) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { IC } \\ (000 \$) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { GVA } \\ (000 \$) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PPI } \\ (2000=100) \end{gathered}$ | ICI $(2000=100)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GO } \\ (000 \$) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { IC } \\ (000 \$) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { GVA } \\ (000 \$) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3)=(1)-(2) | (4) | (5) | (6)=(1)/(4)*100 | (7)(5)*100 | (8)=(6)-(7) |
| Mining | 7,300.0 | 3,800.0 | 3,500.0 | 210.0 | 215.0 | 3,476.2 | 1,767.4 | 1,708.7 |
| Manufacturing | 12,800.0 | 6,300.0 | 6,500.0 | 185.0 | 206.0 | 6,918.9 | 3,058.3 | 3,860.7 |
| Total | 20,100.0 | 10,100.0 | 10,000.0 | ---- | ---- | 10,395.1 | 4,825.7 | 5,569.4 |


|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ <br> Current <br> prices | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GVA <br> $(000 \$)$ | GVA volume <br> index <br> $(2000=100)$ | GVA implicit <br> deflator <br> $(2000=100)$ |
|  | $\mathbf{( 9 )}$ | $(\mathbf{1 0})=(\mathbf{8})(\mathbf{9}) * 10$ <br> $\mathbf{0}$ | $(11)=(\mathbf{3}) /(\mathbf{8}) * \mathbf{1 0}$ <br> $\mathbf{0}$ |
| Mining | $1,735.0$ | 98.5 | 204.8 |
| Manufacturing | $3,680.0$ | 104.9 | 168.4 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 , 4 1 5 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 2 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 9 . 6}$ |

GO: Gross Output
IC: Intermediate Consumption
GVA: Gross Value Added
PPI: Producer Price Index
ICI: Intermediate Consumption price Index

## 7. Illustration of Single Indicator Methods

## Primary data

| Date | Output at current <br> prices <br> $(1)$ | Intermediate <br> consumption current <br> $(2)$ | Value added current <br> prices <br> $(3)=(1)-(2)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | 3,200 | 2,400 | 800 |
| 2001 | 2,940 | 2,100 | 840 |
| 2001 | 3,680 | 2,700 | 980 |


| Date | Output at constant <br> 2000 prices <br> $(4)$ | Paasche price deflator <br> for output <br> $(5)=(1) /(4) * 100$ | Output volume index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(6)=(4) / 3200 * 100$ |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 3,200 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2001 | 3,000 | 98.0 | 93.8 |
| 2002 | 3,100 | 118.7 | 96.9 |

## 7. Illustration of Single Indicator Methods

| Single extrapolation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Value added 2000 | Laspeyres volume <br> index output <br> $(6)$ |  | Value added constant <br> 2000 prices <br> $(7)=800 *(6) / 100$ |  |
| 2000 | 800 | 100.0 | $800 * 1.000$ | $=$ | 800.0 |
| 2001 | $\ldots . .$. | 93.8 | $800 * 0.938$ | $=$ | 750.0 |
| 2002 | $\ldots . .$. | 96.9 | $800 * 0.969$ | $=$ | 775.0 |

## Single deflation

| Date | Paasche price deflator <br> for output <br> $(5)$ | Value added current <br> prices <br> $(13)=(1)-(2)$ | 800.0 | $800 / 1.000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | 100.0 | 840.0 | $840 / 0.980$ | $=$ |
| 2001 | 98.0 | 980.0 | $980 / 1.187$ | $=$ |
| 2002 | 118.7 |  | Value added constant <br> 2000 prices <br> $(14)=(13)(5) * 100$ |  |

