Enhancing leadership advocacy for effective user engagement 2-3 December 2025, Chiba/Tokyo, Japan

SESSION 4: STOCKTAKING

15 MINUTES FOR COUNTRY-LEVEL WORK; 45 MINUTES FOR PLENARY DISCUSSION (See Sections 2 and 6 of the Concept Paper)



Using the MS Forms (https://tinyurl.com/SIAPMS), each country delegation will define the level of maturity of their organization's strategy, processes, activities, and resources in relation to engaging the assigned user group. Mark the score for each stage of user engagement.

Progress	Unstructured Ad hoc process and	In Progress Limited	Embedded Process is documented
	activities	structure/process	and continuously updated
Initiating contact			
 User identification (database of external and internal users) 			
- Establish and maintain external partnerships (fora, committees, service agreements)			
Establishing user needs			
 User consultation (e.g., survey, focus group, online portal, permanent or ad hoc committees, workshops) Develop compendium of statistical concepts and definitions Established process for communicating user requests (e.g., phone, mail, email, website) Web metrics User needs analysis 			
Instigating user engagement			
- Periodic newsletter/publication			
- Press conference, event organization			
- NSO representation in relevant events			
- Website, data portal, mobile app			
- Data visualization and other creative content			
- Statistical data literacy programs			

Enhancing leadership advocacy for effective user engagement 2-3 December 2025, Chiba/Tokyo, Japan

Progress	Unstructured	In Progress	Embedded
	Ad hoc process and	Limited	Process is documented
	activities	structure/process	and continuously updated
Enhancing staff engagement skills			
- Dedicated staff responsible for engaging user (e.g.,			
communications office)			
- Staff trained in technical and stakeholder			
engagement aspects of their work (e.g., public			
speaking, data visualization)			
Modernizing NSO tools and technology			
- Develop NSO website, data portal, or mobile			
application			
- Staff responsible for engaging online users (e.g.,			
digital communication, web development and			
content management, data security)			
- Real-time user-driven data customization			
Financing user engagement			
- Earmarked annual budget for specific and ad hoc			
activities to engage the user group			
- Actively explore partners to collaborate in specific			
user engagement activities			
- Spontaneously explore partners to collaborate in ad			
hoc activities			
Establishing feedback loop			
- Feedback gathering system			
- Monitoring system			
- Customized statistical product or service			
- Partnerships or collaboration activities			

Reference: <u>UNECA Guidelines for developing an integrated user engagement strategy for national statistical systems</u>

Enhancing leadership advocacy for effective user engagement 2-3 December 2025, Chiba/Tokyo, Japan

SESSION 5: USER JOURNEY

1 HOUR AND 10 MINUTES FOR GROUP DISCUSSION; 1 HOUR AND 15 MINUTES FOR PLENARY DISCUSSION (See Sections 2 and 3 of the Concept Paper)

Each group will map out the experience of national statistical offices (NSO) in engaging the assigned user group. Please select one specific knowledge product/service that the NSO provides to this user group. The **AEIOU framework** will be used to analyze the reach, depth, frequency, and effectiveness of engagement at various touchpoints. Identify the current practices and constraints, and reimagine the service design, particularly the processes that can be augmented or curated (i.e., which needs to be refined or removed). Each group will be given 5 minutes to present to the plenary their current practices, constraints faced, and areas for improvement.

	Current practices	Constraints	Areas for improvement
Activities (What users do) Enumerate the set of actions users do to achieve a goal (e.g., search for data/indicators, email NSO for data, read press releases)	•	•	•
Environment (Where users access information) Identify the setting/context/channel for each point of contact of the user group with the NSO staff (e.g., NSO library, phone, centralized email, staff email, press office, NSO website/dashboard, other government websites, social media channels)	•	•	

Enhancing leadership advocacy for effective user engagement 2-3 December 2025, Chiba/Tokyo, Japan

	Current practices	Constraints	Areas for improvement
Interactions (How users navigate and interact) Describe how data users and producers interact with each other at first point of contact/follow-through/feedback loop (e.g., opening tables, scrolling through dashboard, accessing metadata, downloading data)	•	•	•
Objects (What items/devices are used) Enumerate the tools and technologies used to disseminate the service (e.g., newsletter, press release, journal, academic/press conference, website, app, email attachment, publication, tables, dashboards, interactive portals, charts)		•	
User (Who are involved) Identify all the individuals involved in the whole service process, including users, NSO staff, and other stakeholders	•	•	•

Enhancing leadership advocacy for effective user engagement 2-3 December 2025, Chiba/Tokyo, Japan

EXAMPLE:

Context: Parliament requesting census report	Current practices	Constraints	Areas for improvement
Activities (What users do) Enumerate the set of actions users do to achieve a goal (e.g., search for data/indicators, email NSO for data, read press releases)	Parliament staff submits formal request for a spreadsheet of annual population data broken by district.	 Request lacks detail hence user is provided with voluminous data that is not all used. Difficult to monitor requests from multiple entry points 	•
Environment (Where users access information) Identify the setting/context/channel for each point of contact of the user group with the NSO staff (e.g., NSO library, phone, centralized email, staff email, press office, NSO website/dashboard, other government websites, social media channels)	Centralized email address	•	•
Interactions (How users navigate and interact) Describe how data users and producers interact with each other at first point of contact/follow-through/feedback loop (e.g., opening tables, scrolling through dashboard, accessing metadata, downloading data)	 Parliament staff sends email request to a centralized address NSO staff who manages centralized email address forwards request to department/division head. Head of division delegates it to NSO census technical staff. NSO census technical staff reviews request, prepare spreadsheet, and drafts analysis. Response is cleared by head of census division, then by Office of the Chief Statistician. Approved report is forwarded to Communications office. Head of communications office delegates it to communication staff who emails requested information to Parliament. 	 Several email exchanges for clarification or additional data Multiple layers in NSO to address for routine request. 	•

Enhancing leadership advocacy for effective user engagement 2-3 December 2025, Chiba/Tokyo, Japan

Context: Parliament requesting census report	Current practices	Constraints	Areas for improvement
	Hard copy of requested information is given to parliament staff during public hearing or legislative sessions		
Objects (What items/devices are used) Enumerate the tools and technologies used to disseminate the service (e.g., newsletter, press release, journal, academic/press conference, website, app, email attachment, publication, tables, dashboards, interactive portals, charts)	 Letter of request Email attachment of census dataset Printed copies of analysis and data 	 Costly to provide multiple printed copies Data is stored in format that are not userfriendly (e.g., CSV) Parliament staff does not use the file format where data is stored (e.g., API) so the NSO staff has to convert it to a different format 	 Establish virtual collaboration spaces for Parliament access (e.g., shared drive, dashboards) Develop request tracking system
User (Who are involved) Identify all the individuals involved in this experience, including users, NSO staff, and other stakeholders	External: Parliament staff Internal: Census staff (technical officer, division head), Communication staff (technical officer, division head), Office of the Chief Statistician (administrative staff, Chief Statistician)	Bureaucratic hurdles or unclear protocol for handling routine request results in time delays Users in parliament may lack training in data analysis Multiple similar requests received from different offices in the parliament	Regularly invite Parliament staff to training sessions or dissemination fora on census

Enhancing leadership advocacy for effective user engagement 2-3 December 2025, Chiba/Tokyo, Japan

SESSION 6: LEADERSHIP-LEVEL ACTION PLAN

5 MINUTES FOR COUNTRY-LEVEL WORK; 15 MINUTES FOR GROUP DISCUSSION; 40 MINUTES FOR PLENARY DISCUSSION

(See Sections 4, 5, and 6 of the Concept Paper)

Leadership plays a crucial role in building a culture of user engagement. This includes integration of engagement cycle into institutional planning and development, and allocating resources for engagement.

Each country delegation will identify two to three actionable recommendations to improve their user engagement strategy. Select only one to be shared within your group. Each group will designate a rapporteur to present a 3-minute summary of the group's top recommendation.

	Recommendation 1	Recommendation 2	Recommendation 3
Activity/strategy	-	-	-
Staff requirement	-	-	-
Funding requirement	-	-	-
Technology requirement	-	-	-

Enhancing leadership advocacy for effective user engagement 2-3 December 2025, Chiba/Tokyo, Japan

SESSION 7: EMPLOYEE POTENTIAL

1 HOUR AND 30 MINUTES FOR GROUP DISCUSSION; 45 MINUTES FOR PLENARY DISCUSSION (See Sections 4 and 5 of the Concept Paper)

The country delegation will identify how managers use various "levers" to shape (or reshape) the organization's culture, specifically in relation to engaging the assigned user group. Discuss within your group the current practice and identify areas for improvement. Each group will assign a rapporteur to present for 5 minutes a summary of how each lever is currently aligned and can be improved.

	Current practices	Areas for improvement
Leader's actions		
What are the directives set for the		
government employees in terms of		
user engagement activities? How		
are these directives cascaded		
down to the staff? How is user		
engagement structured in your		
organization (e.g., centralized to a		
division, delegated to a subject		
matter expert, or a combination		
thereof)?		
Employee involvement		
Which aspect of the activity can		
the employee provide input, make		
decisions on their own, and be held		
accountable? For example, who		
determines the engagement		
activity, channel/method of		
interaction, length of preparation		
time, level of urgency, audience		
reach, and resources allotted for a		
particular user engagement		
activity.		
Aligned rewards		
What are the non-monetary		
recognitions received by the		
employee for their high		
performance when engaging the		
specific user group (if any)?		
Similarly, what are the non-		
monetary punitive actions imposed		
on employees for low		

Enhancing leadership advocacy for effective user engagement 2-3 December 2025, Chiba/Tokyo, Japan

	Current practices	Areas for improvement
performance/bad behavior with		
respect to user engagement? Or		
are there no rewards given that		
user engagement is expected from		
employees? Are rewards primarily		
based on technical competence,		
with user engagement treated as a		
minimum expectation or not		
explicitly considered?		
Signals, stories, and symbols		
What are the outward		
manifestations of cultural values in		
your organization, including how		
culture conveys the NSO's		
commitment to impartiality and		
professional independence?		
HR system alignment		
How does your organization attract		
new employees, retool skills to		
enable each actor to accomplish		
their responsibilities? How do you		
identify the skill set needed for new		
hires (e.g., Do you hire more		
technically focused staff? Do you		
hire IT-ready staff to introduce		
digital products? Do you put weight		
on hiring staff with data science		
backgrounds to leverage new		
technologies such as big data/Al?		
Do you hire creatively inclined staff		
to repurpose or repackage your		
knowledge products?) Do you have		
the capability to identify the		
required skills of permanently hired		
and/or temporarily contracted out		
staff? How is the user feedback		
mechanism used to improve		
organizational systems and		
processes?		